AAARGH

Accueil général | Homepage English | Faurisson Archive | Archive Faurisson |

My Comment on an Open Letter from Michael Shermer

Robert Faurisson

March 31, 1995

On March 14, 1995, Michael Shermer, director of « Skeptics Society » and *Skeptic Magazine*, published « An Open Letter to Holocaust Revisionists » in which he devoted a more than 300-word section to a conversation we had together, in Los Angeles, in September 1994, on my invitation. He did not send me a copy of that open letter. Today, March 31, I received a copy from Dr. Toben (Australia). I thank him for publishing my comment.

I drew Mr Shermer's attention to the fact that, in accusing Germans of

1) having decided the construction of chemical slaughterhouses to kill the Jews systematically and in great quantities,

2) having built this « weapon of the crime », and

3) having used it for years with a special technique and a specific operation,

the onus of proof now rests with him.

I personally asked him for material or physical proof as is usual in any criminal matter. To begin with, I wish he would have answered my simple challenge : « Show me or draw me a Nazi gas chamber ! »

The words « Show me » mean that, if he believes that the Nazi gas chamber shown in Auschwitz or elsewhere were actually real Nazi gas chambers, then he only had to take a little responsibility and say : « This was a Nazi gas chamber ». We would then have a discussion together.

The words «or draw me » mean that, if he considered that « the Germans had destroyed all their execution gas chambers » or that « the Nazi gas chambers shown to tourists are incomplete, rebuilt or non-genuine », he only had to show me, by a drawing, what actually was a real Nazi gas chamber along, of course, with its technique and operation. We would then have a discussion together.

In his article, Mr Shermer has not shown or drawn anything of that kind. In our conversation he did not address the issue. He asked me what was a proof for me. But I had already answered his question - it was, as in a criminal case, a physical or material representation of the weapon of the crime. If he did not agree, he would have to tell me why and he would have to bring me what *he* called a proof, not in theory but in practice.

I kept repeating that *he* had to give just *one* proof of his own : After all, it was he who had made an accusation ; he had to prove ; it was up to him to decide what kind of proof he would bring. We would then discuss that proof. Unfortunately he never brought anything for us to consider.

He now says that he « thought that perhaps [my] mind might take a philosophical turn ». It is precisely because I am used to hear so many « intellectual » or « philosophical » considerations (French people love them), that I am very suspicious about them when the discussion is about a crime or the weapon of a crime, and I then insist on the importance of the material or physical evidence. Here I prefer Sherlock Holmes or Scotland Yard to Socrates or Pyrrhon (the skeptic).

Andrew Allen attended our conversation. I agree that I jabbed my finger at Mr Shermer's face. It was not a tactic. It was because I kept repeating : « *You* are the accuser. *You* and nobody else here. So, bring one proof, only one proof of your terrible accusation ».

What I am saying here to Mr Shermer is : « Don't escape your responsibility ! »

To sum up, we are still waiting today for Mr Shermer to show us what would look like a Nazi gas chamber (*the* weapon of *the* crime) along, of course, with its technique and operation.

I recall that, on August 30, 1994, in front of four witnesses, Michael Berenbaum, Research Director of the Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington, was lead to answer my challenge by saying : « The decision had been made not to give any physical representation of the Nazi gas chamber ». For this as well as for the preposterous model of Krematorium II and for my argument « No holes, no "Holocaust" », see *Adelaide Institute*, November 10, 1994, p. 4-5

P.S. Mr Shermer mocks my « inimitable French accent ». I am afraid he is right on that very point.

This text has been displayed on the Net, and forwarded to you as a tool for educational purpose, further research, on a non commercial and fair use basis, by the International Secretariat of the Association des Anciens Amateurs de Recits de Guerres et d'Holocaustes (AAARGH). The E-mail of the Secretariat is <. Mail can be sent at PO Box 81475, Chicago, IL 60681-0475, USA..

We see the act of displaying a written document on Internet as the equivalent to displaying it on the shelves of a public library. It costs us a modicum of labor and money. The only benefit accrues to the reader who, we surmise, thinks by himself. A reader looks for a document on the Web at his or her own risks. As for the author, there is no reason to suppose that he or she shares any responsibility for other writings displayed on this Site. Because laws enforcing a specific censorship on some historical question apply in various countries (Germany, France, Israel, Switzerland, Canada, and others) we do not ask their permission from authors living in thoses places: they wouldn't have the freedom to consent. We believe we are protected by the Human Rights Charter:

ARTICLE 19. < Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.>The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on December 10, 1948, in Paris.

Accueil général | Homepage English | Faurisson Archive | Archive Faurisson |

You downloaded this document from http://aaargh-international.org/engl/FaurisArch/RF95033C.html